

## **Evidence-Based Workgroup Pre-Submission Checklist**

Please choose one of the following options for your submission:

Option A	١
----------	---

Interventions that have been evaluated locally using rigorous evaluations over several implementations and that showed consistent positive results.

Completed application
Submission narrative
Attachment 1: Curriculum used
Attachment 2: Logic model Refer to logic model guidelines and sample
logic model
Attachment 3: Evaluation reports
Attachment 4: Raw copy of evaluation tools/instruments (including intake
forms, surveys, data sources, etc.)
Attachment 5: Outcome data

### **Option A Submission narrative to include the following sections:**

- 1. Explanation of the program including:
  - a. Structure of the program
    - i. Target population
      - 1. Universal, Selective or Indicated
      - 2. Demographics (age, grade level, parents, race, gender etc.)
    - ii. Setting, number of sessions, duration of the program, and how many participants
  - b. History of implementation
  - c. Underlying theory
    - i. Risk and protective factors addressed
  - d. Needs assessment to justify prevention goals
- 2. State the outcomes of the program
  - a. What population was sampled and what was the selection criteria
  - b. What method of sampling was used
  - c. Timeline of evaluations and interventions
  - d. What were the method(s) of analysis
  - e. Outcome data including descriptive statistics and tests of significance for quantitative data and/or qualitative data if available

#### Option B Interventions based on, or very similar to, interventions already considered evidence-based. Completed application Submission narrative $\Box$ Attachment 1: Curriculum used Adapted curriculum Original curriculum Attachment 2: Logic model -- Refer to logic model guidelines and sample logic model Attachment 3: Evaluation data П $\Box$ Attachment 4: It is not the responsibility of the EBW to determine appropriate documentation or rights to copy the program. If applicable, please obtain and

provide documentation of permission or documentation of responsibility being

#### **Option B Submission narrative to include the following sections:**

1. Explanation of the program

absolved from EBW

- a. Structure of the program
  - i. Target population
    - 1. Universal, Selective or Indicated
    - 2. Demographics (age, grade level, parents, race, gender etc.)
  - ii. Setting, number of sessions, duration of the program, and how many participants
- b. Target population
- c. Similarities and differences between it and the original evidence-based intervention
- 2. Explanation of why your program was chosen, rather than the original evidence-based intervention
- 3. Evaluation results
  - a. Description of how well outcomes of the original evidence-based intervention match the expected outcomes in your community
  - b. Identify program and source of evidence-based guidelines and practices, including website or evidence-based registry and its documented outcomes

### **Option C**

Programs developed specifically for specialized population (special needs, Indigenous populations, etc.) which have some level of documented evidence.

Completed application
Submission narrative
Attachment 1: Curriculum used to run program if applicable
Attachment 2: Logic model Refer to logic model guidelines and sample logic model
Attachment 3: Documentation of available evidence regarding effectiveness, through either formal or informal evaluation efforts
Attachment 3: Documentation of evaluation plan

### **Option C Submission narrative to include the following sections:**

- 1. Explanation of the program
  - a. Structure of the program
    - i. Target population
      - 1. Universal, Selective or Indicated
      - 2. Demographics (age, grade level, parents, race, gender etc.)
    - ii. Setting, number of sessions, duration of the program, and how many participants
  - b. Underlying theory
    - i. Risk and protective factors
  - c. How it is rooted in, or addresses, the specific cultural traditions or needs of the target population
- 2. Explanation of effectiveness
  - a. Formal or informal evaluation efforts
  - b. Sample method
  - c. Evaluation tools

### **Submission Timeline**

- The EBW will meet the third Thursday of every month.
- Submission packets will be accepted for review by 5:00 PM on the first Wednesday of every month
  - Please clearly mark the sections outlined above to make the review process more efficient
  - All work must be submitted by email in pdf format
- If corrections are needed, the applicant will be notified by Friday of the following week
- The updated submission packet will then be due on the fourth Tuesday of the month
- The EBW will review applications the month after they are submitted, in the order that they are received
- Every effort will be made to review applications at the EBW meeting following final submission; however, review will depend on application load

Submit to: David Watkins dwatkins@utah.gov 385-226-6125



# **Evidence-Based Workgroup Submission Application**

Program Information:									
Program Name:									
Contact Information:	Email Address			Phone N	Phone Number				
	Prog	ram Contact							
Submission Option:		Option A		Option B		Option C			
Level of Risk/IOM Category Addressed by Program:		Universal		Selective		Indicated			
Level of Influence:		Individual		Relationship $\square$ Comm		Community			
		Information Dissemination   Community-Based Process				rocess			
Type of Strategy:		Education			Environmental				
		Alternative			Other				
		Problem Identification	and Re	ferral					
Intervention Setting:		Home			Criminal Justice				
		School			Community				
Target Population:									
Timeline:									
	Inter	vention Implementation		Evaluation	on				
How Program Fits as Part of a Comprehensive Prevention Effort									